



How one earl's radical idea became the precedent for elected government BY EUGENE FINERMAN

## IN 1215, REBELLING AGAINST

the repressive rule of King John, the barons of England forced the cowed monarch to sign the Magna Carta, a charter of concessions defining and restricting his power. Henceforth, the king could no longer arbitrarily arrest an Englishman or seize his property. Neither John nor any other English king would again be a tyrant.

However, the Magna Carta did not guarantee the king's competence. The monarch was still free to be weak, inept and reckless; and Henry III—the son of John—fully exercised those dubious prerogatives. In 1264, the barons rebelled again, now to impose some restraining responsibilities on the bankrupt crown. And the rebels' leader Simon de Montfort had a remarkable idea about how to accomplish that: a governing council with elected representatives.

Ironically, the pioneer of parlia-

mentary government had nothing liberal in his pedigree or upbringing. Simon de Montfort was an aristocrat, the son of a warlord who had made a fortune in the Crusades. Nor was Simon even English, but French. France, however, afforded him few opportunities; his older brother would inherit the family estates. But he did have a tenuous claim to an English title: The last Earl of Leicester had died childless, and Simon was his great-nephew. So in 1230 the 22year-old Frenchman traveled to England to become an earl.

Thirteenth-century England was a feudal society. The king and 200 nobles ruled 4 million people. There were some 80 boroughs, their term for cities and towns. London was the largest—with a population of approximately 30,000. Most of the English—at least 80 percent—were peasants, and half of those peasants were

serfs, considered little more than human livestock. The ruling class and their subjects barely spoke the same language. The French spoken by the aristocracy and the Angle-Saxon spoken by the commoners was gradually evolving into a mutually understood language: Middle English. And on the English throne was the affable catastrophe Henry III.

De Montfort was not the only fortune hunter at the English court, and most of them were French like him. The king had many Gallic relatives and they all made themselves his guests. But Simon, with his soldier's bearing, stood apart from the fawning courtiers. Henry was impressed and so granted Simon part of the Leicester inheritance. De Montfort could have the lands and income of the earldom but not the actual title. That would have to be earned. The king expected mili-





In 1215, King John (left) was forced to sign the Magna Carta, restricting the power of the monarch. While still a prince, King Edward I (right) led the military effort that defeated Simon de Montfort.

tary service, but Simon chose a different type of campaign. Eleanor Plantagenet was an attractive young woman; she was also the king's sister. Simon married her, and Henry's wedding present was the earldom.

In his rise to prominence and power, the Earl of Leicester earned both the envy and the enmity of other courtiers. The king could be easily swayed by malicious reports, and so Simon often found himself the victim of the royal whimsy. Although he had certainly proved himself adept at court politics, Simon loathed it. He fled the sordid intrigues by going on a crusade in 1240. He returned to England and the politics a year later, but he would always speak longingly of the Crusades and their moral clarity.

When the king summoned the Royal Council, an assembly of England's leading nobles and clergy, it was sometimes for their advice but usually for their money. The Magna Carta forbid the king from raising taxes without the council's consent. Of course, the Earl of

Leicester was a member of the Royal Council. He had first been a staunch supporter of the king; that might be expected of a rising courtier and a brother-in-law. Over time, however, experience and disillusionment turned him into a critic.

Henry's rule was an appalling farce.

WHEN THE KING
SUMMONED THE
ROYAL COUNCIL,
AN ASSEMBLY OF
ENGLAND'S LEADING
NOBLES AND
CLERGY, IT WAS
SOMETIMES FOR
THEIR ADVICE BUT
USUALLY FOR
THEIR MONEY.

Royal offices were doled out to corrupt, incompetent favorites. Wars were lost through cowardice and mismanagement. The king bankrupted the treasury pursuing ridiculous schemes; one was a campaign to win the crown of Sicily. And, in 1258, when the king wanted more money for this Sicilian fiasco, the council not only refused but, led by de Montfort, demanded constraints upon Henry and the reform of the government.

The outraged nobles could not be ignored. Each one had a personal army and their combined might could overwhelm the king's forces. Intimidated, Henry agreed to abide by whatever reforms would be determined by a special session of the Royal Council. Representatives of the king and the barons met at Oxford to create a program of reforms. They intended to limit the king's power and to impose on government officials a standard of ethics and competence. Their proposals are now remembered as the "Provisions of Oxford."

According to the provisions, the king would be under the supervision of a 15-member governing council called a "parliament." "There are to be three parliaments a year. ... To these three parliaments the chosen counselors of the King shall come, even if they are not summoned, in order to examine the state of the kingdom and to consider the common needs of the kingdom and likewise of the King." Note that the parliament would meet regardless of Henry's approval. The provisions also imposed term limits of one year on all royal appointees, and these appointees would have to report to the parliament.

Henry agreed to the provisions in October 1258, and then spent the next two years stalling on their implementation. All the while, Henry was corresponding with the pope, pleading for the church to absolve him from his pledge. In April 1261, the pope did, and now Henry could sanctimoniously reject the reforms.

However, the church had not threatened to excommunicate de Montfort and the barons; so they could still demand the provisions. With England on the verge of civil war, Henry offered another proposal. King Louis IX of France was renowned for his sense of justice; why not let him arbitrate the dispute? De Montfort agreed, however, Louis was still very much a king, and he was not going to undermine the principles of monarchy. In January 1264, he decided in Henry's favor.

The nobles had no alternative but war; and they had no better leader than Simon de Montfort. He was 56 vears old, an old man in those times, but still eager to lead this crusade. King Henry and his son Edward were gathering their forces at Lewes, in Southeastern England. De Montfort had a smaller army but he knew Henry's incompetence and Edward's inexperience. The earl attacked; by the end of the day, Henry and Edward were prisoners and Simon de Montfort was "the uncrowned king of England." Henry would remain king, if only in name. The actual power would be in the hands of a triumvirate of regents: de Montfort, of course, and his allies



## TIMELINE

1215: THE BARONS OF ENGLAND FORCE KING JOHN TO SIGN THE MAGNA CARTA

1230: SIMON DE MONTFORT TRAV-ELS TO ENGLAND TO BECOME AN EARL, UNDER KING HENRY

1258: DE MONTFORT LEADS
REFORM OF THE CORRUPT RULE
OF KING HENRY; THE KING
AGREES TO FORM AN ELECTED
"PARLIAMENT" BUT STALLS ON
IMPLEMENTATION

1261: THE POPE ABSOLVES
HENRY FROM HIS PLEDGE AND HE
REJECTS THE REFORMS

1264: KING LOUIS IX OF FRANCE ARBITRATES THE DISPUTE AND DECIDES IN HENRY'S FAVOR

1264: DE MONTFORT LAUNCHES
AN ATTACK; BECOMES "THE
UNCROWNED KING OF ENGLAND"
AFTER TAKING HENRY AND SON
EDWARD PRISONER

1265: DE MONTFORT CONVENES
A PARLIAMENT, CALLING FOR
ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES
FROM 37 COUNTIES AND 80
TOWNS OF ENGLAND

AUGUST 1265: EDWARD ESCAPES
AND LEADS VICTORIOUS EFFORT
TO KILL DE MONTFORT

1275: NOW KING, EDWARD I REALIZES THAT SUPPORT OF THE COMMONERS IS IMPORTANT; HE SUMMONS A PARLIAMENT the Bishop of Chicester and the Earl of Gloucester.

But de Montfort knew that there had to be a sound and lasting basis for responsible government. Both the provisions' proposed parliament and the Royal Council had been an assembly of aristocrats. De Montfort wanted a parliament that drew upon the advice and consent of the commoners. So, he would convene a parliament in January 1265, and he ordered the 37 counties and some 80 towns of England to send elected representatives. It was unprecedented, and many of de Montfort's fellow barons were appalled. Some, including the Earl of Gloucester, would now conspire to restore the king. What happened at de Montfort's parliament? Ironically, we do not know the details of this momentous event. No records have survived.

Those enemies were gathering strength. With the help of the treacherous Gloucester, Prince Edward had escaped and now was rallying an army in the west of England. De Montfort led an army in pursuit, bringing along Henry as a hostage. The earl camped at Evesham and awaited reinforcements. They never came. Prince Edward, proving himself a bold and capable commander, had destroyed that force and now would surprise de Montfort. The earl was killed, and his body mutilated, its parts sent throughout the kingdom as trophies. King Henry was released from one captivity but placed in another. The real ruler would be Prince Edward. Ironically, Edward was exactly what the defeated barons had wanted in a king: strong, efficient and responsible.

He would also prove a statesman. When King Edward I summoned a parliament in 1275, he ordered the counties and towns of England to send elected representatives. A wise king would want the support and the advice of the commoners. So de Montfort's radical idea became the precedent of parliament, and the basis of representative government. Today, a descendant of Edward sits on the British throne, but the heirs of Simon de Montfort—the elected members of Parliament—rule Britain.